

Factsheet: Vouchers Are Not the Solution for Educational Improvement

Voucher programs hurt student outcomes

- Evaluations in <u>Louisiana</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>Indiana</u>, and <u>Ohio</u> found negative impacts on student achievement. For instance, Louisiana's program <u>lowered math scores</u> more than <u>COVID</u>.
- The <u>Educational Choice for Children Act</u> (ECCA) doesn't allow requirements for schools accepting vouchers
 — including assessing students against grade-level standards which would leave private schools
 unaccountable for providing a high-quality education to all students and would leave the public in the dark
 about student outcomes.

• Vouchers take money out of low- and middle-income families' pockets

- Vouchers rarely cover full private school costs, creating barriers for lower-income families. In Arizona, the average voucher (\$6,000-\$9,000) is not enough to cover average private school costs (\$10,446).
- Private schools often raise tuition in some cases by <u>as much as 25%</u> following the expansion of voucher programs. The average family cannot afford to pay for these additional costs.

Vouchers allow wealthy families to receive subsidized tuition while avoiding taxes

- Most vouchers go to students already in private schools, taking public funds that could support public schools to subsidize existing private school students.
 - Nationwide, an average of 70% of students using vouchers were previously enrolled in private school.
- School voucher programs <u>disproportionately benefit</u> the most advantaged students, who are overwhelmingly white and wealthy.
 - Arizona's wealthiest families use ESAs at five times the rate of the state's poorest families.
 - In North Carolina, <u>two thirds</u> of voucher recipients are white, despite representing <u>less than half</u> of the state's public school population.
- ECCA's proposed tax credit structure would allow wealthy families to <u>avoid taxes</u>, such as those on the sale of stocks.

• Vouchers erode student civil rights protections

- Vouchers don't provide students with school choice; they provide schools with taxpayer-funded student choice.
- ECCA's mandate to not impose requirements on schools accepting vouchers means there can be no rules that prohibit private schools from using admissions policies and <u>discriminatory practices</u> that exclude students with disabilities, LGTBQ+ students, students with different religious beliefs, or students of color.
- Many states require parents accepting vouchers to <u>waive their rights</u> under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, which ensures students with disabilities can access high-quality educational experiences.
 - An investigation in <u>Wisconsin</u> revealed that 15% of the investigated schools accepting vouchers had policies that appeared to discriminate against students with disabilities.
 - A federal evaluation of Washington, <u>DC's</u> voucher program found the main reason cited for not using vouchers was not being able to find a school that would provide needed accommodations.

• Rural communities are disproportionately harmed by voucher programs

- Vouchers don't serve rural communities; instead, they drain much-needed funds without expanding educational options for students.
- Many rural states <u>rely more on Title I funds</u> than others, so any efforts to cut Title I to allow funds to be used for private schools could disproportionately impact rural communities.
- Rural students often <u>don't have private schools nearby</u>, so voucher programs are hard, if not impossible for them to use.
 - Just 34% of rural students have any private schools within five miles, compared to 92% of urban students.
 - In <u>Tennessee</u>, 42% of rural school districts have no private schools at all.

Voters from All Parties Want More Federal Spending on Public Schools, Not Less

- Voters in All4Ed's OpinionatED 2024 election <u>exit poll</u> prefer increasing federal funding for public schools over private school vouchers. This includes 68% of all voters and majorities of Republicans (58%) and Trump voters (55%).
- Vouchers should not be forced upon states who don't want them. In 2024, voters in <u>Kentucky</u>, <u>Nebraska</u>, and <u>Colorado</u> all rejected vouchers at the ballot box.

When asked to choose, majorities of Democratic and Republican voters prefer increasing funding for public schools over vouchers.

